# LFS Internal Research Grant Program (IRGP): Evaluation Matrix

| Rating | Category | Description |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Excellent (3)** | **Originality** | * Proposed research is clearly presented, is highly original and innovative
 |
| **Significance** | * Proposed research will likely form the basis for groundbreaking multidisciplinary research, and/or lead to new technology, or policydevelopmentthat addresses socio-economic, health or environmental needs.
 |
| **Objectives/Plan** | * Long-term plan for future proposal submission is very clearly defined and short-term objectives are well planned.
 |
| **Methodology** | * Methodology is clearly described and appropriate.
 |
| **Feasibility** | * The project has a high likelihood of success within a one-year timeframe as demonstrated by the objectives, methodology and budget justification
 |
| **Multidisciplinarity** | * Team expertise is highly complementary and the roles of each team member in carrying out the research are very clearly described
 |
|  |
| **Good** **(2)**  | **Originality** | * Proposed research is clearly presented, is original and innovative
 |
| **Significance** | * Proposed research is likely to have impact and/or will address socio-economic, health or environmental needs.
 |
| **Objectives/Plan** | * Long-term plan for future proposal submission is defined and short-term objectives are planned.
 |
| **Methodology** | * Methodology is clearly described and appropriate
 |
| **Feasibility** | * While elements of risk exist that could affect feasibility of the project, they have been identified in the proposal and contingencies have been incorporated into the methodology and budget justification where appropriate.
 |
| **Multidisciplinarity** | * Team expertise is complementary and the roles of each team member in carrying out the research are clear.
 |
|  |
| **Moderate** **(1)** | **Originality** | * Proposed research is clearly presented, has original and innovative aspects
 |
| **Significance** | * Proposed research may have impact and/or address socio-economic, health or environmental needs.
 |
| **Objectives/Plan** | * Long-term and short-term objectives are described.
 |
| **Methodology** | * Methodology is partially described and/or appropriate.
 |
| **Feasibility** | * There is a high risk that one or more elements of the project may not be feasible leading to only limited success; contingencies for these risks are only partially addressed in the proposal and budget justification.
 |
| **Multidisciplinarity** | * Team expertise is partially complementary and the roles of each team member in carrying out the research are described
 |
|  |
| **Insufficient** **(0)** | **Originality** | * Proposed research, as presented, lacks clarity, and/or is of limited originality and innovation.
 |
| **Significance** | * Proposed research is unlikely to have significant scientific or policy impact or lead to a high quality tri-council or TLEF proposal.
 |
| **Objectives/Plan** | * Objectives are not clearly described and/or likely not attainable.
 |
| **Methodology** | * Methodology is not clearly described and/or appropriate.
 |
| **Feasibility** | * The project as described is not feasible within a one-year time frame.
 |
| **Multidisciplinarity** | * Team expertise does not appear complementary and the roles of each team member in carrying out the research are not clearly described
 |

**Reviewer Evaluation Matrix:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Rating/Score** |
| **Category** | Insufficient (0) | Moderate (1) | Good (2) | Excellent (3) |
| Originality |  |  |  |  |
| Significance |  |  |  |  |
| Objectives/Plan |  |  |  |  |
| Methodology |  |  |  |  |
| Feasibility |  |  |  |  |
| Multidisciplinarity |  |  |  |  |
| **Totals** |  |  |  |  | **Total**: /18 |

**Please comment on:**

The quality, originality and impact potential of the proposed project:

The extent to which the aims and motivations of the proposal are consistent with the intent of the LFS Internal Research Grant Program: to spark innovative multidisciplinary research within LFS.

The feasibility of completing project within the one-year timeframe:

The appropriateness of the budget allocation and the budget justification:

Evidence of a clear research plan that links the proposed work to future work planned as part of a Tri-Agency funding application (NSERC, SSHRC, CIHR) or UBC TLEF proposal: